White Paper # 203



Surge Protectors vs. Power Conditioners
What's The Difference?

White Papers are produced as an educational and informational tool by POWERVAR, Inc., 28457 N. Ballard Dr., Suite C, Lake Forest, Illinois 60045. Comments are appreciated. Address your ideas, comments and replies to the above address. White Papers may be reproduced freely provided they remain complete and unchanged from their original form and content. Every effort is made to assure that the material presented in POWERVAR White Papers is complete, reliable, and technically accurate. For clarity and ease of presentation, however, some technical details may be simplified or omitted. 1995 - POWERVAR, Inc.

POWERVAR
Solutions for Power Quality™

Introduction

If you've ever wondered how a surge protector is different from a power conditioner, you're not alone. The enormous difference in price make surge protectors especially attractive to many people.

To make matters more confusing, manufacturers of these different devices often don't tell you the performance differences. Surge protectors and power conditioners have significant differences, and those who buy a power protection device without knowing the facts may find they've purchased far less protection than they thought.

The differences between surge protectors (surge diverters is a more appropriate term) and power conditioners is more than just price. As you will see, surge protectors are capable of providing only rudimentary protection.

Surge Diverters

The event we commonly call a surge is more accurately defined as a high voltage transient or impulse. Surge diverters are designed to divert the impulse away from the sensitive electronic system. That's why the term diverter is more appropriate, it better describes the function of this device.

Surge diverter products commonly use one or more of several electronic components. These include metal oxide varistors (MOV's), silicon avalanche diodes (SAD's), and gas tubes. There are differences in how each functions but the intent is the same (See Fig. 1), divert **a part** of the harmful impulse energy away from the computer or system being protected.



Figure 1 - Surge Diverter Operation

All surge diverters have a voltage threshold, called the "clamping voltage", at which they began to conduct. Above that threshold, impulses are shunted across the diverter to another pathway. When the impulse voltage once again falls below the threshold, the diverter stops conducting. Surge diverters also have a "clamping response" time or the time required for the device to respond to an impulse. The amount of energy each is capable of handling without being destroyed is also a consideration.

Due to these factors, each type of component used in surge diverters has unique advantages and disadvantages. MOV's have a high clamping voltage (300 to 500 volts) and a slow response time. This means that in best case scenarios, voltage impulses of less than 500 volts usually enter the computer system unimpeded. In addition, higher voltage events with very fast rise-times may pass by the MOV before it is able to respond. And while MOV's can handle a significant amount of energy, they are physically degraded each time they clamp which alters their future performance and ultimately leads to physical failure.

These disadvantages have led to the use of the silicon avalanche diode (SAD) either in conjunction with the MOV or in standalone applications. Compared to MOV's, SAD's have a faster response time and are not subject to the physical degradation that accompanies the MOV's operation. The overall energy handling ability of the SAD, however, is not as high, and an impulse that merely degrades an MOV may cause outright destruction of the SAD. To overcome this disadvantage, many surge diverter manufacturers whose designs use standalone SAD's will parallel multiple SAD's to increase the overall energy handling. The effectiveness of this design method is still vigorously debated by some industry authorities.

Gas tubes are extremely slow and have a high clamp voltage. However, they handle almost unlimited amounts of energy. Some surge diverter designs have employed gas tubes as the final line of "brute force" protection to spare the lives of other surge diverter components in the presence of catastrophic powerline impulses. In fact many surge diverter designs incorporate paralleled MOV's, SAD's, and/or gas tubes in an effort to improve performance by combining the relative strengths of each particular component.

Inherent Limitations

All surge diverters have certain inherent limitations. Some have already been addressed; clamping voltage, response time, energy handling, etc. Other factors are equally important. The impulse illustrated in Figure 1 is highly simplified. In the real world, powerline impulses come closest to resembling this perfect waveform only at the service entrance to a building.

At the end of a long branch circuit, where most computer equipment is installed, powerline impulses look more like the "ringing" transient shown in Figure 2. Building wiring contains significant inductive and capacitive reactance which means that for each location in a building's wiring system, there is a unique frequency at which the system will oscillate. Much the same as a radio transmitter oscillates when its output circuit is energized, building wiring oscillates when a surge energizes it.



Figure 2 - "Typical" Branch Circuit Impulse

While much work has been done by the IEEE to characterize the "typical" characteristics of a branch circuit impulse, the actual circumstances vary greatly. The surge diverter becomes a part of the wiring system when it is installed on a branch circuit, and the circuit impedance that results from the wiring reactance becomes a factor in the performance characteristics of the surge diverter.

The implication is an important one. Since electrical characteristics vary throughout the system, the performance of the surge diverter will vary as well. And since these same characteristics affect the frequency, waveshape, and risetime of an impulse at different places within the system, the performance of surge diverters often becomes unpredictable.

Since the "garden variety" surge diverter is subject to all these limitations, it is realistically best suited only for limiting the worst part of a catastrophic electrical impulse.

Functional Issues

In addition there are two other functional factors of significant importance. The first is longevity. The second is what happens when a surge diverter operates.

Since MOV's and SAD's are both electronic components, it is important to remember that both are subject to failure from a high energy impulse. This is true whether they are used singly or in combination with one another. The probability of ultimate failure is the reason so many surge diverter products incorporate an indicator light to signal when the protective elements are no longer functional. In most cases, surge diverter components are operating "naked" on the powerline and eventual failure is to be expected.

What happens when a surge diverter operates is the key issue. Where does the surge go and what are the affects of sending it there? This answer to this question along with the inherent and functional limitations of surge diverters are the key differentiating factors between surge diverters and power conditioners.

Power Conditioners Defined

The most obvious question is "What is a power conditioner?" Simply stated, *a power conditioner is any device that provides **all** the power protection elements needed by the technology it's protecting.* While somewhat broad, this definition does focus our attention on the fact that today's modern systems require different protection elements than their predecessors.

The linear power supplies used in older generation computers required voltage regulation. Today's modern systems are powered by switch mode power supplies (SMPS) which are technologically quite different. SMPS are immune to voltage regulation problems but require protection from impulses, powerline noise and most specifically common mode voltage.

Common mode voltage is disruptive to the operation of a computer. The computer's microprocessor makes logic decisions with reference to a clean, quiet ground. Common mode (neutral to ground) voltages disturb this reference and result in lockups, lost data, and unexplainable system failures.

Surge protectors function by diverting disturbance energy to ground (Figure 1). In the process, they convert a destructive disturbance to a disruptive one. Meanwhile, since the surge protector allows substantial energy to pass on to the computer, the computer itself may still be degraded by the residual surge energy.

This explains why in so many instances a user experiencing catastrophic hardware failure will install a surge diverter and find that hardware failures, while fewer, still occur and that the system now performs strangely at times.

A power conditioner for a modern day system recognizes the need to meet modern system requirements. Such a power conditioner will incorporate three discrete elements: **A** - a surge diverter, **B** - an isolation transformer, and **C** - a powerline filter (See Fig. 3). This **ABC** approach provides several operational benefits.



Figure 3 - Power Conditioner With Elements A, B, and C

Isolation transformers permit the bonding of neutral to ground on the transformer secondary. Permitted by National Electrical Code paragraph 250-5d, this "newly derived power source eliminates common mode voltages. This means that the surge diverter can now divert surge energy to ground without creating a disruptive common mode disturbance in the process. Since noise filters also function by diverting EMI and RFI to ground in the same manner, their performance is also enhanced by combining them with an isolation transformer.

The Elegance of the Transformer

Transformers are an elegant power quality tool. Their secret is in their unchanging secondary impedance. As mentioned surge diverters interact with the impedance of building wiring in a way that makes their performance unpredictable. Noise filters suffer similar fates. However, when combined with the static



Figure 4 - Surge Protector vs. Power Conditioner

secondary impedance of an isolation transformer, their performance is not only predictable but controllable by design.

Surge protectors limit transient impulses to hundreds of volts. Power conditioners limit the same transients to tens of volts (typically ten volts or less). Figure 4 illustrates the relative result of a 600 volt impulse passing through a surge diverter and a power conditioner, respectively. A transformer based power conditioner allows far less of the disturbance to reach the critical load.

Conclusion

Electronic systems can be destroyed, degraded, and disrupted by powerline disturbances. Surge diverters are capable of limiting damage from the only destructive events. Power conditioners utilizing elements A, B, and C eliminate system destruction, component degradation, and operational disruption. The performance of naked surge diverters in an electrical system is unpredictable. The performance of power conditioners with an isolation transformer in the same electrical system is predictable and repeatable. Surge diverters create common mode noise voltage. Power conditioners eliminate it. The difference is measured in system reliability, dependability, and performance.

Read about IEEE-C62.41

Read about UL1449